

why did pericles give his speech

why did pericles give his speech is a question that delves into one of the most iconic moments of ancient Athenian history. Pericles, a prominent statesman and orator, delivered his famous Funeral Oration during the Peloponnesian War, a time of intense conflict between Athens and Sparta. This speech was not only a eulogy to fallen soldiers but also a powerful expression of Athenian values, democracy, and patriotism. Understanding why Pericles gave his speech involves exploring the historical context, the purpose behind the oration, and its lasting impact on political rhetoric and society. This article will examine the reasons behind Pericles' speech, its content, and its significance in shaping Athenian identity and democratic ideals. The following sections will provide a detailed analysis of these aspects.

- Historical Context of Pericles' Speech
- The Purpose of Pericles' Funeral Oration
- Key Themes in the Speech
- The Impact and Legacy of Pericles' Speech
- Why the Speech Remains Relevant Today

Historical Context of Pericles' Speech

Understanding why did Pericles give his speech requires a thorough look at the historical background surrounding it. The speech was delivered during the early years of the Peloponnesian War, a protracted and devastating conflict between the city-states of Athens and Sparta. This war began in 431 BCE and was marked by intense military engagements, political upheaval, and profound social consequences. Athens was a powerful maritime empire with a democratic government, while Sparta was a dominant land power with an oligarchic system.

Pericles, as the leading statesman of Athens, played a crucial role in guiding the city through this turbulent period. The Funeral Oration was part of the annual public funeral held for soldiers who died in battle, a tradition that honored the fallen and reinforced collective values. The speech was delivered to inspire the citizens, commemorate the sacrifice of the warriors, and justify the ongoing war effort.

The Peloponnesian War

The Peloponnesian War created a climate of uncertainty and fear in Athens. As

the conflict escalated, maintaining public morale became essential. Pericles' speech addressed this need by providing reassurance and emphasizing the nobility of the Athenian cause.

Athenian Society and Democracy

Athens in Pericles' time was renowned for its democratic principles, cultural achievements, and military prowess. The speech highlighted these aspects to foster pride and unity among the citizens.

The Purpose of Pericles' Funeral Oration

One of the central reasons why did Pericles give his speech was to fulfill the civic duty of honoring the dead while simultaneously strengthening the city-state's resolve. The Funeral Oration was not merely a eulogy but also a political tool designed to promote Athens' democratic ideals and justify the sacrifices demanded by war.

Pericles aimed to achieve several objectives through his oration, each contributing to the overall goal of sustaining Athenian morale and commitment.

Commemoration of Fallen Soldiers

Primarily, the speech paid tribute to the soldiers who had given their lives defending Athens. By recognizing their courage and sacrifice, Pericles sought to provide comfort to bereaved families and inspire others to emulate their valor.

Promotion of Athenian Ideals

The oration extolled the virtues of Athenian democracy, freedom, and cultural superiority. It contrasted Athens with other Greek city-states, particularly Sparta, emphasizing the uniqueness and strength of Athenian society.

Encouragement of Civic Unity and Patriotism

By invoking shared values and collective pride, Pericles encouraged Athenians to remain united and steadfast in the face of adversity. The speech reinforced a sense of communal responsibility and national identity.

Key Themes in the Speech

Why did Pericles give his speech also relates to the specific themes he chose to emphasize, which reflected the political and social climate of Athens. The Funeral Oration is famous for its eloquent articulation of several core ideas that resonated deeply with its audience.

Democracy as the Ideal Political System

Pericles praised Athenian democracy as a system where power was vested in the many rather than the few. He highlighted how this form of government fostered equality before the law, civic participation, and merit-based advancement.

Valor and Sacrifice in War

The speech honored the bravery of soldiers who died for their city and framed their sacrifice as essential to preserving Athens' way of life. This theme reinforced the nobility of military service and the importance of defending democratic freedoms.

Athenian Exceptionalism

Pericles depicted Athens as a model for other city-states, emphasizing its cultural achievements, openness, and innovative spirit. This message sought to instill pride and a sense of destiny among the citizens.

Unity and Collective Responsibility

The oration underscored the importance of solidarity among Athenians, urging them to work together for the common good and to uphold the values that defined their society.

The Impact and Legacy of Pericles' Speech

The significance of why did Pericles give his speech extends well beyond its immediate historical moment. The Funeral Oration became a foundational text in the tradition of political rhetoric and has influenced the way societies commemorate sacrifice and express democratic ideals.

Influence on Political Oratory

Pericles' speech set a standard for public addresses by combining emotional appeal with philosophical reflection on governance and citizenship. Its style

and content have inspired countless speeches in Western political history.

Reinforcement of Athenian Identity

The oration strengthened the collective identity of Athens during a critical period, helping to maintain social cohesion and resolve amid the hardships of war.

Enduring Symbol of Democracy

Pericles' rhetoric continues to be studied as an early and influential articulation of democratic values, illustrating the power of speech to shape political culture and national consciousness.

Why the Speech Remains Relevant Today

The question of why did Pericles give his speech also invites reflection on its contemporary relevance. Modern societies continue to grapple with issues of patriotism, democracy, and the commemoration of those who serve their countries. Pericles' Funeral Oration offers insights that transcend its ancient context.

Lessons in Leadership and Rhetoric

Pericles demonstrated how effective leadership combines honoring sacrifice with inspiring vision. His speech remains a model for how leaders can communicate values and motivate populations during crises.

Democracy and Civic Engagement

The emphasis on active citizenship and democratic participation in the speech resonates with ongoing efforts to strengthen democratic institutions worldwide.

Commemoration of Sacrifice

The oration exemplifies the role of public memory in honoring those who give their lives for their communities, a practice still central to national ceremonies and remembrances.

Core Takeaways from Pericles' Funeral Oration

- Recognition of individual and collective sacrifice
- Celebration of democratic governance and equality
- Promotion of civic unity and shared responsibility
- Encouragement of pride in cultural and political achievements
- Use of rhetoric to inspire resilience during conflict

Frequently Asked Questions

Why did Pericles give his famous Funeral Oration speech?

Pericles gave his Funeral Oration to honor the fallen Athenian soldiers during the Peloponnesian War and to inspire the citizens by praising Athens' democracy and values.

What was the historical context of Pericles' speech?

Pericles delivered his speech during the early years of the Peloponnesian War, after a significant battle where many Athenian soldiers had died.

What was the purpose of Pericles' speech?

The purpose was to commemorate the dead, boost morale, and reinforce the ideals of Athenian democracy and civic pride.

How did Pericles use his speech to influence Athenian citizens?

He used the speech to highlight the virtues of Athens, encourage unity, and motivate citizens to continue supporting the war effort.

Why is Pericles' speech considered significant in history?

It is a foundational text illustrating the values of democracy, patriotism, and the importance of civic duty in ancient Athens.

Did Pericles' speech aim to justify the war?

Yes, indirectly; by praising the sacrifices of the soldiers and the strength of Athens, he justified the ongoing conflict.

How did Pericles portray Athens in his speech?

He portrayed Athens as a model city of democracy, culture, and military strength.

Was Pericles' speech meant for the general populace or a specific group?

It was addressed to the general Athenian populace during a public funeral for fallen soldiers.

What themes did Pericles emphasize in his speech?

Themes of democracy, sacrifice, honor, patriotism, and the greatness of Athens were emphasized.

How did Pericles' speech impact future democratic societies?

It set a precedent for democratic rhetoric and public oratory, influencing the way leaders communicate civic values and honor the fallen.

Additional Resources

1. Pericles and the Golden Age of Athens

This book explores the life and times of Pericles, focusing on his leadership during Athens' Golden Age. It provides historical context for his famous funeral oration speech, explaining the political and social circumstances that prompted it. Readers gain insight into how Pericles aimed to inspire civic pride and unity among Athenians during the Peloponnesian War.

2. The Funeral Oration of Pericles: History and Interpretation

Delving into the content and significance of Pericles' funeral speech, this work analyzes its rhetorical strategies and underlying motives. The author examines why Pericles delivered the speech and how it served to honor fallen soldiers while reinforcing democratic values. The book also discusses its lasting impact on classical rhetoric and political thought.

3. Athens at War: Pericles and the Peloponnesian Conflict

Focusing on the historical backdrop of the Peloponnesian War, this book explains the pressures faced by Athens under Pericles' leadership. It highlights why Pericles gave his speech as a means to bolster morale and justify the sacrifices made by the city's citizens. The narrative connects

military struggles with the political messages conveyed in the oration.

4. *The Power of Words: Pericles' Speech and Athenian Democracy*

This book investigates the relationship between Pericles' speech and the ideals of Athenian democracy. It argues that the speech was crafted to strengthen democratic participation and patriotism during a time of crisis. The author provides a close reading of the speech, illustrating how rhetoric can shape political identity.

5. *Leadership in Ancient Athens: Pericles' Vision and Legacy*

Examining Pericles as a leader, this book addresses the reasons behind his famous speech and its role in promoting his political agenda. It discusses how Pericles used the funeral oration to unify Athens and legitimize his leadership during wartime. The book also considers the broader implications of his policies on Athenian society.

6. *Pericles' Funeral Oration: A Study in Political Rhetoric*

This scholarly text focuses on the rhetorical elements of Pericles' speech and why they were necessary at that moment in history. The author explores how the speech functioned both as a tribute and as a persuasive tool to maintain public support for the war. It also places the speech within the tradition of classical Greek oratory.

7. *War and Memory: The Context of Pericles' Funeral Speech*

This book highlights the cultural and historical context in which Pericles delivered his funeral speech. It explains how the speech was intended to commemorate the dead and inspire the living amid ongoing conflict. The analysis sheds light on the social values and collective memory that Pericles sought to shape.

8. *Rhetoric and Democracy in Classical Athens*

Offering a broader perspective, this book situates Pericles' speech within the development of Athenian democratic practices. It discusses why Pericles' oration was a critical moment for reinforcing the principles of equality and civic duty. The text provides background on the political environment that made such speeches essential.

9. *Pericles: The Man Behind the Speech*

This biography delves into Pericles' personal motivations and the political challenges he faced leading up to his speech. It reveals why he chose to address the Athenian assembly in this manner and how his speech reflected his vision for Athens. The book offers a comprehensive look at the intersection of personality, politics, and public discourse.

Why Did Pericles Give His Speech

Find other PDF articles:

<https://staging.massdevelopment.com/archive-library-208/pdf?ID=KdG98-9897&title=custom-receipt>

why did pericles give his speech: The Speeches of Pericles Thucydides, 1979

why did pericles give his speech: Thucydides, Hobbes, and the Interpretation of Realism

Laurie M. Johnson, 2020-01-15 This original book has been consistently cited by scholars of international relations who explore the roots of realism in Thucydides's history and the political philosophy of Thomas Hobbes. While acknowledging that neither thinker fits perfectly within the confines of international relations realism, Laurie M. Johnson proposes Hobbes's philosophy is more closely aligned with it than Thucydides's.

why did pericles give his speech: *The Tragedy of the Athenian Ideal in Thucydides and Plato*

John T. Hogan, 2020-07-01 John T. Hogan's *The Tragedy of the Athenian Ideal in Thucydides and Plato* assesses the roles of Pericles, Alcibiades, and Nicias in Athens' defeat in Thucydides' Peloponnesian War. Comparing Thucydides' presentation of political leadership with ideas in Plato's *Statesman* as well as *Laches*, *Charmides*, *Meno*, *Symposium*, *Republic*, *Phaedo*, *Sophist*, and *Laws*, it concludes that Plato and Thucydides reveal Pericles as lacking the political discipline (*sophrosune*) to plan a successful war against Sparta. Hogan argues that in his presentation of the collapse in the Corcyraean revolution of moral standards in political discourse, Thucydides shows how revolution destroys the morality implied in basic personal and political language. This reveals a general collapse in underlying prudential measurements needed for sound moral judgment. Furthermore, Hogan argues that the *Statesman's* outline of the political leader serves as a paradigm for understanding the weaknesses of Pericles, Alcibiades, and Nicias in terms that parallel Thucydides' direct and implied conclusions, which in Pericles' case he highlights with dramatic irony. Hogan shows that Pericles failed both to develop a sufficiently robust practice of Athenian democratic rule and to set up a viable system for succession.

why did pericles give his speech: Thucydides Between History and Literature

Antonis Tsakmakis, Melina Tamiolaki, 2013-03-01 This volume brings together scholars from various areas (history, philology, linguistics, history of political ideas) and attempts a fresh survey of current trends in the analysis of Thucydides' historical narrative. Individual contributions range from a general outlook of Thucydides' historical and historiographical concepts to detailed analysis of narrative strategies, linguistic features and stylistic devices. Special attention is given to questions such as the representation of character, the role of individuals, the interaction between leaders and masses in Athenian democracy, the construction of speeches in Thucydides' work, etc. The analysis of language, style and narrative properties is related to the construction of meaning according to current standards of textual analysis and interpretation.

why did pericles give his speech: The Emergence of Subjectivity in the Ancient and Medieval

World Jon Stewart, 2020-03-24 *The Emergence of Subjectivity in the Ancient and Medieval World: An Interpretation of Western Civilization* represents a combination of different genres: cultural history, philosophical anthropology, and textbook. It follows a handful of different but interrelated themes through more than a dozen texts that were written over a period of several millennia and, by means of an analysis of these texts, presents a theory of the development of Western civilization from antiquity to the Middle Ages. The main line of argument traces the various self-conceptions of different cultures as they developed historically, reflecting different views of what it is to be human. The thesis of the volume is that through examination of these changes we can discern the gradual emergence of what we today call inwardness, subjectivity, and individual freedom. As human civilization took its first tenuous steps, it had a very limited conception of the individual. Instead, the dominant principle was that of the wider group: the family, clan, or people. Only in the course of history did the idea of what we now know as individuality begin to emerge, and it took millennia for this idea to be fully recognized and developed. The conception of human beings as having a sphere of inwardness and subjectivity subsequently had a sweeping impact on all aspects of culture,

including philosophy, religion, law, and art: indeed, this notion largely constitutes what is today referred to as modernity. It is easy to lose sight of the fact that this modern conception of human subjectivity was not simply something given, but rather the result of a long process of historical and cultural development.

why did pericles give his speech: The Practice of Rhetoric Debra Hawhee, Vessela Valiavitcharska, 2022-10-18 Rhetoric, broadly conceived as the art of making things matter, is both a practice and theory about that practice. In recent decades, scholars of rhetoric have turned to approaches that braid together poetics, performance, and philosophy into a practical art. By practical art, they mean methods tested in practice, by trial and error, with a goal of offering something useful and teachable. This volume presents just such an account of rhetoric. The account here does not turn away from theory, but rather presumes and incorporates theoretical approaches, offering a collection of principles assembled in the heat and trials of public practice. The approaches ventured in this volume are inspired by the capacious conception of rhetoric put forth by historian of rhetoric Jeffrey Walker, who is perhaps best known for stressing rhetoric's educational mission and its contributions to civic life. The Practice of Rhetoric is organized into three sections designed to spotlight, in turn, the importance of poetics, performance, and philosophy in rhetorical practice. The volume begins with poetics, stressing the world-making properties of that word, in contexts ranging from mouse-infested medieval fields to the threat of toxin-ridden streams in the mid-twentieth century. Susan C. Jarratt, for instance, probes the art of ekphrasis, or vivid description, and its capacity for rendering alternative futures. Michele Kennerly explores a little-studied linguistic predecessor to prose-logos psilos, or naked speech-exposing the early rumblings of a separation between poetic and rhetorical texts even as it historicizes the idea of clothed or ornamented speech. In an essay on the almost magical properties of writing, Debra Hawhee considers the curious practice of people writing letters to animals in order to banish or punish them, thereby casting the epistolary arts in a new light. Part 2 moves to performance. Vessela Valiavitcharska examines the intertwining of poetic rhythm and performance in Byzantine rhetorical education, and how such practices underlie the very foundations of oratory. Dale Martin Smith draws on the ancient stylistic theory of Dionysius of Halicarnassus along with the activist work of contemporary poets Amiri Baraka and Harmony Holiday to show how performance and persuasion unify rhetoric and poetics. Most treatments of philosophy and rhetoric begin within a philosophical framework, and remain there, focusing on old tools like stasis and disputation. Essays in part 3 break out of that mold by focusing on the utility and teachability of rhetorical principles in education. Jeanne Fahnestock and Marie Secor update stasis, a classical framework that encourages aspiring rhetors to ask after the nature of things, their facts and their qualities, as a way of locating an argument's position. Mark Garrett Longaker probes the medieval practice of disputation in order to marshal a new argument about why, exactly, John Locke detested rhetoric, and the longstanding opposition between science and rhetoric as modes of proof that has lasting implications for the way argument works today. Ranging across centuries and contexts, the essays collected here demonstrate the continued need to attend carefully to the co-operation of descriptive language and normative reality, conceptual vocabulary and material practice, public speech and moral self-shaping. The volume promises to rekindle long-standing conversations about the public, world-making practice of rhetoric, thereby enlivening anew its civic mission--

why did pericles give his speech: Speeches for the Dead Harold Parker, Jan Maximilian Robitzsch, 2018-06-25 The Menexenus, in spite of the dearth of scholarly attention it has traditionally received compared to other Platonic texts, is an important dialogue for any consideration of Plato's views on political philosophy, history, and rhetoric - to say nothing of the dialogue's contribution to the study of civic ideology and institutions, natural law theory, and Plato's notion of race. Speeches for the Dead unites the contributions of scholars working on diverse aspects of the dialogue, growing out of a one-day workshop on the same subject at the University of Pennsylvania organized by the editors. In offering a variety of perspectives on the Menexenus, the volume is the very first of its kind in any language. In addition, the volume contains an up-to-date

bibliography of scholarship in English, French, German, and Italian. This makes the book a definitive guide and ideal starting point for advanced students and scholars looking for further information about the dialogue.

why did pericles give his speech: OCR Classical Civilisation A Level Components 31 and 34 Athina Mitropoulos, Tim Morrison, James Renshaw, Julietta Steinhauer, 2017-08-24 This textbook is endorsed by OCR and supports the specification for A-Level Classical Civilisation (first teaching September 2017). It covers Components 31 and 34 from the 'Beliefs and Ideas' Component Group: Greek Religion by Athina Mitropoulos and Julietta Steinhauer Democracy and the Athenians by Tim Morrison and James Renshaw Why was worshipping the gods so important to ancient Greek life? To what extent did Greeks question religious belief? How and why did the Athenians invent democracy? How does Athenian democracy compare with democracy today? Drawing on modern scholarship and using a wide variety of illustrations, this book guides A-Level students to a greater understanding of these issues. It explores the fundamental features of Greek religion, as well as its major centres such as Delphi and Olympia. It then moves on to analyse the development and workings of Athenian democracy, as well as reflecting on ancient critiques of it, both celebratory and critical. The ideal preparation for the final examinations, all content is presented by experts and experienced teachers in a clear and accessible narrative. Ancient literary and visual sources are described and analysed, with supporting images. Helpful student features include study questions, quotations from contemporary scholars, further reading, and boxes focusing in on key people, events and terms. Practice questions and exam guidance prepare students for assessment. A Companion Website is available at www.bloomsbury.com/class-civ-as-a-level.

why did pericles give his speech: *Extemporary Speech in Antiquity* Hazel Louise Brown, 1914

why did pericles give his speech: *Politics and Philosophy in Plato's Menexenus* Nickolas Pappas, Mark Zelcer, 2015-05-01 Menexenus is one of the least studied among Plato's works, mostly because of the puzzling nature of the text, which has led many scholars either to reject the dialogue as spurious or to consider it as a mocking parody of Athenian funeral rhetoric. In this book, Pappas and Zelcer provide a persuasive alternative reading of the text, one that contributes in many ways to our understanding of Plato, and specifically to our understanding of his political thought. The book is organized into two parts. In the first part the authors offer a synopsis of the dialogue, address the setting and its background in terms of the Athenian funeral speech, and discuss the alternative readings of the dialogue, showing their weaknesses and strengths. In the second part, the authors offer their positive interpretation of the dialogue, taking particular care to explain and ground their interpretive criteria and method, which considers Plato's text not simply as a de-contextualized collection of philosophical arguments but offers a theoretically reading of the text that situates it firmly within its historical context. The book will become a reference point in the debate about the Menexenus and Plato's political philosophy more generally and marks an important contribution to our understanding of ancient thought and classical Athenian society.

why did pericles give his speech: *Civil Peace and the Quest for Truth* Murray Dry, 2004-11-17 The freedoms of speech and religion assumed a sacrosanct space in American notions of civil liberty. But it was not until the twentieth century that these freedoms became prominent in American constitutional law; originally, the first ten amendments applied only to the federal government and not to the states. Murray Dry traces the trajectory of freedom of speech and religion to the center of contemporary debates as few scholars have done, by looking back to the American founding and to the classical texts in political philosophy that shaped the founders' understanding of republican government. By comparing the colonial charters with the new state constitutions and studying the development of the federal Constitution, Dry demonstrates the shift from governmental concern for the salvation of souls to the more limited aim of the securing of rights. For a uniquely rich and nuanced appreciation of this shift Dry explores the political philosophy of Locke, Spinoza, Montesquieu, and Mill, among others, whose writings helped shaped the Supreme Court's view of religion as separate from philosophy, as a matter of individual faith and not a community practice. Delving into the polyvalent interpretations of such fundamental concepts

as truth, faith, and freedom, Civil Peace and the Quest for Truth immeasurably advances the study of American constitutional law and our First Amendment rights.

why did pericles give his speech: The Athenian Funeral Oration David M. Pritchard, 2024-02 The most important study of the funeral oration for dead combatants in democratic Athens since Nicole Loraux's classic work.

why did pericles give his speech: Love of Glory and the Common Good Michael Palmer, 1992 More clearly than any previous work on the subject, Michael Palmer's *Love of Glory and the Common Good* defines the relationship between Periclean democracy and the decline in Athenian political life that followed the death of Pericles. The author elaborates upon the views of Thucydides, who saw the subsequent tyrannical rule of Alcibiades and the accompanying disintegration of Athenian political life as a logical consequence of the defects in the speeches and deeds that Pericles used to inspire the Athenian people. With careful attention to details in the order and structure of Thucydides' narrative, Palmer shows this historian as a political thinker of the first rank who deserves the same careful study accorded to Plato and Aristotle.

why did pericles give his speech: Moralia Plutarch, 1927 Plutarch (Plutarchus), ca. AD 45-120, was born at Chaeronea in Boeotia in central Greece, studied philosophy at Athens, and, after coming to Rome as a teacher in philosophy, was given consular rank by the emperor Trajan and a procuratorship in Greece by Hadrian. He was married and the father of one daughter and four sons. He appears as a man of kindly character and independent thought, studious and learned. Plutarch wrote on many subjects. Most popular have always been the 46 Parallel Lives, biographies planned to be ethical examples in pairs (in each pair, one Greek figure and one similar Roman), though the last four lives are single. All are invaluable sources of our knowledge of the lives and characters of Greek and Roman statesmen, soldiers and orators. Plutarch's many other varied extant works, about 60 in number, are known as *Moralia* or *Moral Essays*. They are of high literary value, besides being of great use to people interested in philosophy, ethics and religion.

why did pericles give his speech: Plutarch's Moralia Plutarch, 1927

why did pericles give his speech: Johns Hopkins Hospital Bulletin Johns Hopkins Hospital, 1906

why did pericles give his speech: *Bulletin of the Johns Hopkins Hospital* Johns Hopkins Hospital, 1907 Bound with v. 52-55, 1933-34, is the hospital's supplement: *Bulletin of the Institute of the History of Medicine*, Johns Hopkins University, v. 1-2.

why did pericles give his speech: The Theatre of Justice, 2017-03-20 The *Theatre of Justice* contains 17 chapters that offer a holistic view of performance in Greek and Roman oratorical and political contexts. This holistic view consists of the examination of two areas of techniques. The first one relates to the delivery of speeches and texts: gesticulation, facial expressions and vocal communication. The second area includes a wide diversity of techniques that aim at forging a rapport between the speaker and the audience, such as emotions, language and style, vivid imagery and the depiction of characters. In this way the volume develops a better understanding of the objectives of public speaking, the mechanisms of persuasion, and the extent to which performance determined the outcome of judicial and political contests.

why did pericles give his speech: *Classical Pasts* James I. Porter, 2021-02-09 The term classical is used to describe everything from the poems of Homer to entire periods of Greek and Roman antiquity. But just how did the concept evolve? This collection of essays by leading classics scholars from the United States and Europe challenges the limits of the current understanding of the term. The book seeks not to arrive at a final definition, but rather to provide a cultural history of the concept by exploring how the meanings of classical have been created, recreated, and rejected over time. The book asks questions that have been nearly absent from the scholarly literature. Does classical refer to a specific period of history or to the artistic products of that time? How has its definition changed? Did those who lived in classical times have some understanding of what the term classical has meant? How coherent, consistent, or even justified is the term? The book's introduction provides a generous theoretical and historical overview. It is followed by eleven chapters in which

the contributors argue for the existence not of a single classical past, but of multiple, competing classical pasts. The essays address a broad range of topics--Homer and early Greek poetry and music, Isocrate, Hellenistic and Roman art, Cicero and Greek philosophy, the history of Latin literature, imperial Greek literature, and more. The most up-to-date and challenging treatment of the topic available, this collection will be of lasting interest to students and scholars of ancient and modern literature, art, and cultural history.

why did pericles give his speech: A Pictorial History of Greece, Ancient and Modern Samuel Griswold Goodrich, 1847

Related to why did pericles give his speech

"Why ?" vs. "Why is it that ?" - English Language & Usage Why is it that everybody wants to help me whenever I need someone's help? Why does everybody want to help me whenever I need someone's help? Can you please explain to me

Where does the use of "why" as an interjection come from? "why" can be compared to an old Latin form *qui*, an ablative form, meaning how. Today "why" is used as a question word to ask the reason or purpose of something

Do you need the "why" in "That's the reason why"? [duplicate] Relative *why* can be freely substituted with *that*, like any restrictive relative marker. I.e, substituting *that* for *why* in the sentences above produces exactly the same pattern of

grammaticality - Is starting your sentence with "Which is why" Is starting your sentence with "Which is why" grammatically correct? our brain is still busy processing all the information coming from the phones. Which is why it is impossible

Is "For why" improper English? - English Language & Usage Stack For *why*' can be idiomatic in certain contexts, but it sounds rather old-fashioned. Googling 'for why' (in quotes) I discovered that there was a single word 'forwhy' in Middle English

american english - Why to choose or Why choose? - English Why to choose or Why choose? [duplicate] Ask Question Asked 10 years, 10 months ago Modified 10 years, 10 months ago

Contextual difference between "That is why" vs "Which is why"? Thus we say: You never know, which is why but You never know. That is why And goes on to explain: There is a subtle but important difference between the use of *that* and *which* in a

pronunciation - Why is the "L" silent when pronouncing "salmon" The reason *why* is an interesting one, and worth answering. The spurious "silent l" was introduced by the same people who thought that English should spell words like *debt* and

etymology - "Philippines" vs. "Filipino" - English Language & Usage Why is *Filipino* spelled with an F? *Philippines* is spelled with a Ph. Some have said that it's because in *Filipino*, *Philippines* starts with F; but if this is so, why did we only change

Why would you do that? - English Language & Usage Stack Exchange 1 Why would you do that? is less about tenses and more about expressing a somewhat negative surprise or amazement, sometimes enhanced by adding *ever*: Why would

"Why ?" vs. "Why is it that ?" - English Language & Usage Why is it that everybody wants to help me whenever I need someone's help? Why does everybody want to help me whenever I need someone's help? Can you please explain to me

Where does the use of "why" as an interjection come from? "why" can be compared to an old Latin form *qui*, an ablative form, meaning how. Today "why" is used as a question word to ask the reason or purpose of something

Do you need the "why" in "That's the reason why"? [duplicate] Relative *why* can be freely substituted with *that*, like any restrictive relative marker. I.e, substituting *that* for *why* in the sentences above produces exactly the same pattern of

grammaticality - Is starting your sentence with "Which is why" Is starting your sentence with "Which is why" grammatically correct? our brain is still busy processing all the information coming from the phones. Which is why it is impossible

Is "For why" improper English? - English Language & Usage Stack For why' can be idiomatic in certain contexts, but it sounds rather old-fashioned. Googling 'for why' (in quotes) I discovered that there was a single word 'forwhy' in Middle English

american english - Why to choose or Why choose? - English Why to choose or Why choose? [duplicate] Ask Question Asked 10 years, 10 months ago Modified 10 years, 10 months ago

Contextual difference between "That is why" vs "Which is why"? Thus we say: You never know, which is why but You never know. That is why And goes on to explain: There is a subtle but important difference between the use of that and which in a

pronunciation - Why is the "L" silent when pronouncing "salmon" The reason why is an interesting one, and worth answering. The spurious "silent l" was introduced by the same people who thought that English should spell words like debt and

etymology - "Philippines" vs. "Filipino" - English Language & Usage Why is Filipino spelled with an F? Philippines is spelled with a Ph. Some have said that it's because in Filipino, Philippines starts with F; but if this is so, why did we only change

Why would you do that? - English Language & Usage Stack Exchange 1 Why would you do that? is less about tenses and more about expressing a somewhat negative surprise or amazement, sometimes enhanced by adding ever: Why would

"Why ?" vs. "Why is it that ?" - English Language & Usage Why is it that everybody wants to help me whenever I need someone's help? Why does everybody want to help me whenever I need someone's help? Can you please explain to me

Where does the use of "why" as an interjection come from? "why" can be compared to an old Latin form qui, an ablative form, meaning how. Today "why" is used as a question word to ask the reason or purpose of something

Do you need the "why" in "That's the reason why"? [duplicate] Relative why can be freely substituted with that, like any restrictive relative marker. I.e, substituting that for why in the sentences above produces exactly the same pattern of

grammaticality - Is starting your sentence with "Which is why" Is starting your sentence with "Which is why" grammatically correct? our brain is still busy processing all the information coming from the phones. Which is why it is impossible

Is "For why" improper English? - English Language & Usage Stack For why' can be idiomatic in certain contexts, but it sounds rather old-fashioned. Googling 'for why' (in quotes) I discovered that there was a single word 'forwhy' in Middle English

american english - Why to choose or Why choose? - English Why to choose or Why choose? [duplicate] Ask Question Asked 10 years, 10 months ago Modified 10 years, 10 months ago

Contextual difference between "That is why" vs "Which is why"? Thus we say: You never know, which is why but You never know. That is why And goes on to explain: There is a subtle but important difference between the use of that and which in a

pronunciation - Why is the "L" silent when pronouncing "salmon" The reason why is an interesting one, and worth answering. The spurious "silent l" was introduced by the same people who thought that English should spell words like debt and

etymology - "Philippines" vs. "Filipino" - English Language & Usage Why is Filipino spelled with an F? Philippines is spelled with a Ph. Some have said that it's because in Filipino, Philippines starts with F; but if this is so, why did we only change

Why would you do that? - English Language & Usage Stack Exchange 1 Why would you do that? is less about tenses and more about expressing a somewhat negative surprise or amazement, sometimes enhanced by adding ever: Why would

"Why ?" vs. "Why is it that ?" - English Language & Usage Why is it that everybody wants to help me whenever I need someone's help? Why does everybody want to help me whenever I need someone's help? Can you please explain to me

Where does the use of "why" as an interjection come from? "why" can be compared to an old Latin form qui, an ablative form, meaning how. Today "why" is used as a question word to ask the

reason or purpose of something

Do you need the “why” in “That's the reason why”? [duplicate] Relative why can be freely substituted with that, like any restrictive relative marker. I.e, substituting that for why in the sentences above produces exactly the same pattern of

grammaticality - Is starting your sentence with “Which is why Is starting your sentence with “Which is why” grammatically correct? our brain is still busy processing all the information coming from the phones. Which is why it is impossible

Is “For why” improper English? - English Language & Usage Stack For why' can be idiomatic in certain contexts, but it sounds rather old-fashioned. Googling 'for why' (in quotes) I discovered that there was a single word 'forwhy' in Middle English

american english - Why to choose or Why choose? - English Why to choose or Why choose? [duplicate] Ask Question Asked 10 years, 10 months ago Modified 10 years, 10 months ago

Contextual difference between “That is why” vs “Which is why”? Thus we say: You never know, which is why but You never know. That is why And goes on to explain: There is a subtle but important difference between the use of that and which in a

pronunciation - Why is the “L” silent when pronouncing “salmon The reason why is an interesting one, and worth answering. The spurious “silent l” was introduced by the same people who thought that English should spell words like debt and

etymology - “Philippines” vs. “Filipino” - English Language & Usage Why is Filipino spelled with an F? Philippines is spelled with a Ph. Some have said that it's because in Filipino, Philippines starts with F; but if this is so, why did we only change

Why would you do that? - English Language & Usage Stack Exchange 1 Why would you do that? is less about tenses and more about expressing a somewhat negative surprise or amazement, sometimes enhanced by adding ever: Why would

“Why ?” vs. “Why is it that ?” - English Language & Usage Stack Why is it that everybody wants to help me whenever I need someone's help? Why does everybody want to help me whenever I need someone's help? Can you please explain to me

Where does the use of “why” as an interjection come from? “why” can be compared to an old Latin form qui, an ablative form, meaning how. Today “why” is used as a question word to ask the reason or purpose of something

Do you need the “why” in “That's the reason why”? [duplicate] Relative why can be freely substituted with that, like any restrictive relative marker. I.e, substituting that for why in the sentences above produces exactly the same pattern of

grammaticality - Is starting your sentence with “Which is why Is starting your sentence with “Which is why” grammatically correct? our brain is still busy processing all the information coming from the phones. Which is why it is impossible

Is “For why” improper English? - English Language & Usage Stack For why' can be idiomatic in certain contexts, but it sounds rather old-fashioned. Googling 'for why' (in quotes) I discovered that there was a single word 'forwhy' in Middle English

american english - Why to choose or Why choose? - English Why to choose or Why choose? [duplicate] Ask Question Asked 10 years, 10 months ago Modified 10 years, 10 months ago

Contextual difference between “That is why” vs “Which is why”? Thus we say: You never know, which is why but You never know. That is why And goes on to explain: There is a subtle but important difference between the use of that and which in a

pronunciation - Why is the “L” silent when pronouncing “salmon The reason why is an interesting one, and worth answering. The spurious “silent l” was introduced by the same people who thought that English should spell words like debt and

etymology - “Philippines” vs. “Filipino” - English Language Why is Filipino spelled with an F? Philippines is spelled with a Ph. Some have said that it's because in Filipino, Philippines starts with F; but if this is so, why did we only change

Why would you do that? - English Language & Usage Stack 1 Why would you do that? is less

about tenses and more about expressing a somewhat negative surprise or amazement, sometimes enhanced by adding ever: Why would

Back to Home: <https://staging.massdevelopment.com>